

Embedding nonlinear $O(6)$ sigma model into $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory

B. BASSO, G.P. KORCHEMSKY

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Université de Paris XI
91405 Orsay Cédex, France*

Abstract

Anomalous dimensions of high-twist Wilson operators have a nontrivial scaling behavior in the limit when their Lorentz spin grows exponentially with the twist. To describe the corresponding scaling function in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, we analyze an integral equation recently proposed by Freyhult, Rej and Staudacher and argue that at strong coupling it can be casted into a form identical to the thermodynamical Bethe Ansatz equations for the nonlinear $O(6)$ sigma model. This result is in a perfect agreement with the proposal put forward by Alday and Maldacena within the dual string description, that the scaling function should coincide with the energy density of the nonlinear $O(6)$ sigma model embedded into $AdS_5 \times S^5$.

*Unité Mixte de Recherche du CNRS (UMR 8627).

1 Introduction

Anomalous dimensions of high-twist Wilson operators have a nontrivial scaling behavior in the limit when their Lorentz spin grows exponentially with the twist [1]. Recently, Alday and Maldacena [2] used a dual stringy description of such operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory (SYM) to put forward the proposal that, at strong coupling, the corresponding scaling function should coincide in a suitable limit with the energy density of a two-dimensional bosonic $O(6)$ sigma-model. In this paper, we establish the same relation on the gauge theory side of the AdS/CFT correspondence.

The operators under consideration are built from L complex scalar fields $X(0)$ and N covariant derivatives $D_+ = (n \cdot D)$ projected onto the light-cone direction $n_\mu^2 = 0$

$$\mathcal{O}_{N,L}(0) = \text{tr} \left[D_+^{k_1} X(0) D_+^{k_2} X(0) \dots D_+^{k_L} X(0) \right], \quad (1)$$

with $N = k_1 + \dots + k_L$. These operators mix under renormalization and their anomalous dimensions, defined as eigenvalues of the mixing matrix, have a rich structure. For given N and L , they occupy a band and the properties of anomalous dimensions are different in the lower and upper part of the spectrum [3]. In what follows we shall study the *minimal anomalous dimension* $\gamma_{N,L}(g)$ in the planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, both at weak and strong coupling, in the limit $N, L \rightarrow \infty$. The motivation for considering this particular limit is twofold and it goes beyond the scope of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ model. High spin/twist Wilson operators analogous to (1) naturally appear in QCD (with a different partonic content though) in the operator product expansion description of deeply inelastic scattering in the semi-inclusive regime, the so-called large Bjorken x limit [4]. On the other hand, in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, the Wilson operators (1) admit a dual description in terms of folded strings spinning on the $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ [5, 6] and their anomalous dimensions can be found at strong coupling from the AdS/CFT correspondence [7].

The minimal anomalous dimension $\gamma_{N,L}(g)$ is a complicated function of the Lorentz spin N , twist L and 't Hooft coupling $g^2 = \lambda/(4\pi)^2 = g_{\text{YM}}^2 N_c/(4\pi)^2$. For finite twist L and large spin N it has a remarkable logarithmic (Sudakov) scaling behavior [8, 3]

$$\gamma_{N,L}(g) = 2\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(g) \ln N + O(N^0), \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad L = \text{fixed}, \quad (2)$$

where the coupling dependent prefactor does not depend on the twist L and is given by the cusp anomalous dimension [9, 8]. The situation changes however when N and L become large simultaneously. In that case, the anomalous dimension $\gamma_{N,L}(g)$ still scales logarithmically for sufficiently large N . However, in distinction with (2), the value of the spin for which transition into the Sudakov regime takes place now depends both on the twist L and on the coupling constant. As was shown in Ref. [1], different regimes of the anomalous dimension $\gamma_{N,L}(g)$ at large L and $N \gg L$ are controlled by the order parameter ξ , which is given at weak coupling by $\xi(g < 1) = \ln(N/L)/L$ and at strong coupling by $\xi(g \gg 1) = g \ln(N/L)/L$:

- For $\xi < 1$ the minimal anomalous dimension has a BMN like [10] scaling¹ both at weak and strong coupling [6, 12, 1]

$$\gamma_{N,L} = 8g^2 \ln^2(N/L)/L + O(g^4 \ln^4(N/L)/L^3), \quad N, L \rightarrow \infty, \quad \xi < 1. \quad (3)$$

¹As was shown in Ref. [11], this scaling breaks down at higher orders in the BMN coupling g^2/L^2 .

- For $\xi \gg 1$ the minimal anomalous dimension grows logarithmically with N and it has the following scaling behavior both at weak and at strong coupling [1, 11, 13, 2, 14]²

$$\gamma_{N,L}(g) = [2\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(g) + \epsilon(g, j)] \ln N + \dots, \quad N, L \rightarrow \infty, \quad j = \frac{L}{\ln N} = \text{fixed}. \quad (4)$$

Here $\epsilon(g, j)$ is a nontrivial function of the scaling variable j satisfying $\epsilon(g, j=0) = 0$ and ellipses denote terms suppressed by powers of $1/L$. We note that the condition $\xi \gg 1$ automatically implies that $j \ll 1$ at weak coupling and $j \ll g$ at strong coupling.

At weak coupling, the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ can be found in a generic (supersymmetric) Yang-Mills theory in the planar limit by making use of the remarkable property of integrability [15, 16]. In QCD, the dilatation operator in the $SL(2)$ sector is integrable for the special class of maximal helicity operators to two loops at least [17, 18, 19], whereas in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory it is believed that integrability gets extended in this sector to all loops [20, 21]. The scaling function has the following form at weak coupling

$$\epsilon(g, j) = \epsilon_1(g)j + \epsilon_2(g)j^2 + \epsilon_3(g)j^3 + \dots, \quad (5)$$

with the coefficient functions $\epsilon_k(g)$ given by series in g^2 . To one-loop order, one finds in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory [1]³

$$\epsilon_1 = 4[\psi(s) - \psi(2s)]g^2 + \dots, \quad \epsilon_2 = 0 \cdot g^2 + \dots, \quad \epsilon_3 = \frac{\pi^2}{24}(-\psi''(s))g^2 + \dots \quad (6)$$

where $s = 1/2$ is the conformal spin of scalar fields entering (1) and $\psi(x) = (\ln \Gamma(x))'$ is the Euler psi-function. Recently, an integral equation has been proposed by Freyhult, Rej and Staudacher (FRS) [14] to describe the function $\epsilon(g, j)$ for arbitrary values of the scaling parameter j and the coupling constant g . It generalizes the BES equation [22] which governs the dependence of the cusp anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(g)$ on the coupling constant in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. One of the consequences of this equation is that $\epsilon_2(g) = 0$ to any order in g^2 .

At strong coupling, the gauge/string correspondence relates the minimal anomalous dimension to the energy of a folded string spinning on the $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$ background [5, 6]

$$\Delta = N + L + \gamma_{N,L}(g), \quad (7)$$

with N and L being angular momenta on AdS_3 and S^1 , respectively. Semiclassical quantization of this state yields the expansion of the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ in powers of $1/g$. The first two terms of this expansion have been computed in Refs. [23, 13, 24]. Recently, Alday and Maldacena [2] put forward the proposal that the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ can be found *exactly* at strong coupling in the limit $j \ll g$ and $j/m = \text{fixed}$ (with the parameter m defined below in (9)). They argued that quantum corrections in the $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$ sigma model are dominated in this limit by the contribution of massless excitations on S^5 whose dynamics is described by a (noncritical) two-dimensional bosonic $O(6)$ sigma-model equipped with a UV cut-off determined by the mass of massive excitations.

²Our definition of $\epsilon(g, j)$ and j is slightly different compared to that of Ref. [2].

³We displayed in (6) the dependence on s to indicate that the same expressions hold in a generic (planar) Yang-Mills theory in integrable $SL(2)$ sector of aligned helicity operators built from gauge fields ($s = 3/2$) and gaugino ($s = 1$).

The $O(6)$ sigma model emerges within the AdS/CFT as an effective two-dimensional theory describing the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ in the $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ sigma model. More precisely, the quantity

$$\epsilon_{O(6)} = \frac{\epsilon(g, j) + j}{2} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta - N}{2 \ln N} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(g) \quad (8)$$

has the meaning of the energy density in the ground state of the $O(6)$ model corresponding to the particle density $\rho = L/(2 \ln N) = j/2$. The $O(6)$ sigma model is an exactly solvable theory [25]. It has a nontrivial dynamics in the infrared and massless excitations acquire mass through dimensional transmutation mechanism [26, 27]. The exact value of the mass gap was found using the Bethe Ansatz in Ref. [28]. In terms of parameters of the underlying $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ sigma model, its value reads [2]

$$m = kg^{1/4} e^{-\pi g} [1 + O(1/g)], \quad k = \frac{2^{3/4} \pi^{1/4}}{\Gamma(5/4)}. \quad (9)$$

The dependence of m on the coupling constant is fixed by the two-loop beta-function of the $O(6)$ model whereas the prefactor k was determined in [2] by matching the first few terms of $1/g$ expansion of $\epsilon_{O(6)}$ into semiclassical expansion of $\epsilon(g, j)$ computed in [11].

The appearance of mass gap in the dual stringy description of the scaling function has dramatic consequences for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at strong coupling. It suggests that the strong coupling expansion of the anomalous dimensions in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM should receive nonperturbative corrections characterized by a new ‘hidden’ scale m . This is a rather unexpected and surprising feature given the fact that the anomalous dimensions capture dynamics at short distances and their weak coupling expansion is free of nonperturbative corrections. Indeed, such nonperturbative corrections have been identified in Ref. [29] in the strong coupling expansion of the cusp anomalous dimension

$$\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(g) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_k/g^k + \alpha m^2 + o(m^2), \quad (10)$$

where the perturbative coefficients c_k grow factorially at large k and the value of α depends on regularization of Borel singularities in the perturbative series. The $O(m^2)$ corrections are exponentially small at strong coupling but they provide a leading contribution in the region $g \sim 1$ in which the transition from the strong to weak coupling regime takes place.

In this paper, we solve the FRS equation [14] using the approach developed in Ref. [29] and evaluate the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory at strong coupling. More precisely, we demonstrate that, in the limit $g \rightarrow \infty$ and $j/m = \text{fixed}$, the integral equation for $\epsilon(g, j)$ can be casted into a form identical to the thermodynamical Bethe Ansatz equations for the nonlinear $O(6)$ sigma model [28]. This allows us to determine $\epsilon(g, j)$ at strong coupling for different values of the ratio j/m and to translate nontrivial properties of the $O(6)$ sigma model (mass gap generation at large distances and asymptotic freedom at short distances) into the corresponding scaling behavior of $\epsilon(g, j)$:

- For $j \ll m \ll g$, or equivalently $N \gg e^{L/m}$,

$$\epsilon(j, g) + j = m^2 \left[\frac{j}{m} + \frac{\pi^2}{24} \left(\frac{j}{m} \right)^3 + O(j^4/m^4) \right]. \quad (11)$$

In this expansion, $O(j)$ terms are in agreement with the numerical solution to the FRS equation found in [30]. Also, $O(j^2)$ term is absent, in a striking similarity with vanishing of the function $\epsilon_2(g)$ in the weak coupling expansion (5) and (6). Note that the nonperturbative corrections in (10) and (11) are of the same order in m^2 and are added together in the expression for the anomalous dimension (4).

- For $m \ll j \ll g$, or equivalently $e^{L/m} \gg N \gg e^{L/g}$,

$$\epsilon(j, g) + j = j^2 \left[\frac{\pi}{8 \ln(j/m)} + O\left(\frac{\ln \ln(j/m)}{\ln^2(j/m)}\right) \right]. \quad (12)$$

This expression resums through renormalization group (an infinite number of) perturbative corrections in $1/g$ with coefficients proportional to j^2 and enhanced by powers of $\ln(j/g)$ [2, 24].

- For $j \ll g$ and $j/m = \text{fixed}$, or equivalently $N \sim e^{L/m}$, the function $\epsilon(j, g)$ does not admit a simple representation and it can be found as the solution to thermodynamical Bethe Ansatz equations for the $O(6)$ model [28] (see Eqs. (65) – (70) below).

These results are in a perfect agreement with the Alday-Maldacena proposal [2] and, therefore, constitute a nontrivial test of the AdS/CFT correspondence.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we reformulate the integral equation proposed in Ref. [14] and argue that it can be significantly simplified by employing a nontrivial change of variables found in [29]. In Sect. 3 we work out the expansion of the scaling function at small j . We demonstrate that at weak coupling it agrees with the known one-loop result, whereas at strong coupling it matches the string theory prediction by Alday and Maldacena including expression for the mass gap (9). In Sect. 4 we show that the integral equation for the scaling function can be mapped for $g \rightarrow \infty$ and $j/m = \text{fixed}$ into thermodynamical Bethe Ansatz equations for the energy density in the ground state of two-dimensional $O(6)$ sigma-model with the particle density $j/2$. Section 5 contains concluding remarks. Some details of our calculations are summarized in two appendices.

2 The scaling function in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

The derivation of the scaling function relies on integrability of the dilatation operator in the $SL(2)$ sector. To one-loop order, the function $\epsilon(g, j)$ was determined in Ref. [1] from the analysis of Bethe Ansatz equation in the scaling limit $N, L \rightarrow \infty$ and $j = \text{fixed}$. The Bethe Ansatz solution for $\epsilon(g, j)$ is characterized in this limit by two sets of parameters, the Bethe roots and the so-called small roots of the transfer matrix, which describe excitations dubbed ‘magnons’ and ‘holes’, respectively. As was shown in [1], both sets of parameters form a dense distribution on the real axis with the holes confined to the interval $[-a, a]$ and magnons to the union of two intervals $[-\infty, -a] \cup [a, \infty]$. The scaling function is uniquely determined by the corresponding distribution densities of holes and magnons.

This analysis was recently extended to all loops in [14] by employing the asymptotic Bethe Ansatz approach proposed in Refs. [31, 21]. It led to the FRS equation

$$\hat{\sigma}(t) = \frac{t}{e^t - 1} \left(\hat{\mathcal{K}}(t, 0) - 4 \int_0^\infty dt' \hat{\mathcal{K}}(t, t') \hat{\sigma}(t') \right), \quad (13)$$

whose solution $\hat{\sigma}(t) = \hat{\sigma}(t; g, j)$ is related to the scaling function as

$$f(g, j) \equiv 2\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(g) + \epsilon(g, j) = j + 16\hat{\sigma}(0). \quad (14)$$

The kernel $\hat{\mathcal{K}}(t, t')$ is given by a rather complicated expression that can be found in Ref. [14] (see also Eq. (22) below). It takes into account a nontrivial scattering phase which satisfies the crossing symmetry [32] and whose explicit form was found in [33]. Later in the paper we shall use another, equivalent formulation of the integral equation (13) which is more suitable for studying the strong coupling limit.

For $j \rightarrow 0$ the integral equation (13) reduces to the BES equation [22] and its solution $\hat{\sigma}(t; g, j = 0)$ determines the cusp anomalous dimension $f(g, j = 0) = 2\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(g)$. Then, the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ admits the representation

$$\epsilon(g, j) = f(g, j) - f(g, 0). \quad (15)$$

At weak coupling the function $f(g, j)$ admits an expansion in powers of g^2 and it vanishes as $g^2 \rightarrow 0$. Then, it follows from (14) that the integral equation (13) has a nontrivial solution at $g = 0$ satisfying $\hat{\sigma}(0; g = 0, j) = -j/16$.

2.1 Integral equation

It is convenient to split $\hat{\sigma}(t)$ into a sum of three terms

$$\hat{\sigma}(t) = \frac{1}{e^t - 1} \left[e^{-t/2} \gamma_h(t) + \frac{g}{2} \gamma(2gt) - \frac{j}{8} J_0(2gt) \right], \quad (16)$$

with $J_0(x)$ being the Bessel function, and rewrite (13) as a system of coupled integral equations for the functions $\gamma_h(t)$ and $\gamma(2gt)$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_h(t) &= K_h(t, 0) - 4 \int_0^\infty dt' K_h(t, t') e^{t'/2} \hat{\sigma}(t'), \\ \gamma(2gt) &= \frac{2t}{g} \left[K(t, 0) - 4 \int_0^\infty dt' K(t, t') \hat{\sigma}(t') \right], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with the kernels K_h and K defined below in Eqs. (18) and (19), respectively. The rationale behind the decomposition (16) is that, as we will show below, the functions $\gamma_h(t)$ and $\gamma(2gt)$ have different analytical properties. Namely, the Fourier transforms of $\gamma_h(t)$ and $\gamma(2gt)$ defined as solutions to (17) have the support on the intervals $[-a, a]$ and $[-2g, 2g]$, respectively, with the parameter a depending on g and j .

The first relation in (17) involves the kernel

$$\begin{aligned} K_h(t, t') &= \frac{t \cos(at') \sin(at) - t' \cos(at) \sin(at')}{2\pi(t^2 - t'^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{\sin(a(t - t'))}{t - t'} + \frac{\sin(a(t + t'))}{t + t'} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and the kernel in the second relation in (17) is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, t') &= g^2 K^{(0)}(2gt, 2gt') - 4g^2 \int_0^\infty dt'' K^{(0)}(2gt, 2gt'') K_h(t'', t') e^{(t' - t'')/2}, \\ K^{(0)}(t, t') &= K_+(t, t') + K_-(t, t') + 8g^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dt'' t''}{e^{t''} - 1} K_-(t, 2gt'') K_+(2gt'', t'), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $K^{(0)}(t, t')$ coincides with the BES kernel [22] and parity even/odd kernels $K_{\pm}(-t, t') = K_{\pm}(t, -t') = \pm K_{\pm}(t, t')$ are given by

$$K_{+}(t, t') = \frac{tJ_1(t)J_0(t') - t'J_0(t)J_1(t')}{t^2 - t'^2} = \frac{2}{tt'} \sum_{n \geq 1} (2n-1)J_{2n-1}(t)J_{2n-1}(t'), \quad (20)$$

$$K_{-}(t, t') = \frac{t'J_1(t)J_0(t') - tJ_0(t)J_1(t')}{t^2 - t'^2} = \frac{2}{tt'} \sum_{n \geq 1} (2n)J_{2n}(t)J_{2n}(t').$$

Finally, the parameter $a = a(g, j)$ is related to the solution of the integral equation as

$$j = \frac{4a}{\pi} - \frac{16}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} dt \hat{\sigma}(t) e^{t/2} \frac{\sin(at)}{t}. \quad (21)$$

Being combined together, the relations (16) – (21) are equivalent to the integral equation (13) with the kernel given by

$$\hat{K}(t, t') = K(t, t') + t^{-1}K_h(t, t') e^{(t'-t)/2} - \frac{J_0(2gt)}{t} \frac{\sin(at')}{2\pi t'} e^{t'/2}. \quad (22)$$

We would like to stress that the functions $\gamma(t)$ and $\gamma_h(t)$ depend on the coupling constant g and the scaling variable j . The relations (17) – (21) simplify significantly as $j \rightarrow 0$. In this limit, we have $a \sim j$ so that the kernel $K_h(t, t')$ and the function $\gamma_h(t)$ vanish simultaneously as $j \rightarrow 0$ leading to $\hat{K}_{j=0}(t, t') = g^2 K^{(0)}(2gt, 2gt')$ and to the BES equation for the function $\gamma_{j=0}(2gt)$.

To determine the scaling function (14), we have to solve the integral equations (17) and, then, substitute the solution for $\hat{\sigma}(t)$, Eq. (16), into (14). Let us apply (16) to evaluate $\hat{\sigma}(0)$. We put $t = 0$ in both sides of the first relation in (17) and take into account the relations (18) and (21) to verify that $\gamma_h(0) = j/8$ for arbitrary j and g . Substituting this relation into (16) and (14) we find the following representation for the scaling function

$$f(g, j) = 16g^2 \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(2gt)}{2gt}. \quad (23)$$

2.2 Parity decomposition

Similar to the BES equation [34, 35], the integral equations (17) can be further simplified by separating expressions on both sides of (17) into terms with a definite parity under $t \rightarrow -t$.

According to definition (18), the kernel $K_h(t, t')$ is an even function of t and t' . Then, it immediately follows from the first relation in (17) that $\gamma_h(t)$ is an even function of t

$$\gamma_h(-t) = \gamma_h(t), \quad \gamma_h(0) = j/8, \quad (24)$$

where the second relation holds for arbitrary coupling g . As follows from (19), the kernel $K(t, t')$ does not have a definite parity under $t \rightarrow -t$ and, as a consequence, the function $\gamma(t)$ can be decomposed as

$$\gamma(t) = \gamma_{+}(t) + \gamma_{-}(t), \quad \gamma_{\pm}(-t) = \pm \gamma_{\pm}(t). \quad (25)$$

We substitute (25) into the second relation in (17) and match parity even/odd terms in both sides of (17) to obtain after some algebra the system of coupled equations for the functions $\gamma_{\pm}(t)$ ⁴

$$\frac{\gamma_{\mp}(2gt)}{2gt} = -4 \int_0^{\infty} dt' K_{\pm}(2gt, 2gt') \left[e^{-t'/2} \gamma_h(t') + \hat{\sigma}(t') \right] + b_{\pm}(2gt), \quad (26)$$

⁴In comparison with the second relation in (17), these equations do not involve the convolution of the kernels $K^{(0)}$ and K_h which appears in the kernel $K(t, t')$, Eq. (19).

where the kernels $K_{\pm}$ are defined in (20), $\hat{\sigma}(t)$ is given by (16) and the notation was introduced for

$$b_+(t) = K_+(t, 0), \quad b_-(t) = 4g \int_0^\infty \frac{dt'}{e^{t'} - 1} K_-(t, 2gt') \gamma_-(2gt'). \quad (27)$$

We recall that the kernels $K_{\pm}$ are given by sum over the product of Bessel functions, Eq. (20). Substitution of (20) into (26) yields the expression for $\gamma_{\pm}(t)$ in the form of Neumann series over Bessel functions

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_-(t) &= 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (2n-1) J_{2n-1}(t) \gamma_{2n-1}, \\ \gamma_+(t) &= 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (2n) J_{2n}(t) \gamma_{2n}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

with the expansion coefficients $\gamma_n = \gamma_n(g, j)$ given by the following expressions

$$\gamma_n = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{n,1} + h_n - \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{J_n(2gt)}{e^t - 1} [\gamma_+(2gt) - (-1)^n \gamma_-(2gt)]. \quad (29)$$

Here the notation was introduced for the coefficients $h_n = h_n(g, j)$

$$h_n = -\frac{2}{g} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{J_n(2gt)}{e^t - 1} \left[e^{t/2} \gamma_h(t) - \frac{j}{8} J_0(2gt) \right]. \quad (30)$$

We recall that the scaling function (23) is determined by the asymptotic behavior of the function $\gamma(t)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$. Substituting (25) and (28) into (23) and taking into account that $J_n(t) \sim t^n$ at small t , we find the scaling functions (14) and (15)

$$f(g, j) = 16g^2 \gamma_1(g, j), \quad \epsilon(g, j) = 16g^2 [\gamma_1(g, j) - \gamma_1(g, 0)], \quad (31)$$

where γ_1 is the lowest order coefficient in the series (28), $\gamma_-(t) = \gamma_1(g, j)t + O(t^2)$.

We can obtain another representation for the expansion coefficients γ_n in (28) by making use of orthogonality of the Bessel functions

$$(2n-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} J_{2n-1}(t) J_{2m-1}(t) = 2n \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} J_{2n}(t) J_{2m}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{nm}. \quad (32)$$

In this way, we obtain from (28)

$$\gamma_{2n-1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} J_{2n-1}(t) \gamma_-(t), \quad \gamma_{2n} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} J_{2n}(t) \gamma_+(t). \quad (33)$$

Here we have tacitly assumed that the Bessel series on the right-hand side of (28) are convergent on the real axis and that the sum over n can be interchanged with the integral over t .⁵ Matching (33) into (29) we obtain an (infinite) system of equations for the functions $\gamma_{\pm}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} J_{2n-1}(2gt) \left[\frac{\gamma_-(2gt)}{1 - e^{-t}} + \frac{\gamma_+(2gt)}{e^t - 1} \right] &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{n,1} + h_{2n-1}(g, j), \\ \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} J_{2n}(2gt) \left[\frac{\gamma_+(2gt)}{1 - e^{-t}} - \frac{\gamma_-(2gt)}{e^t - 1} \right] &= h_{2n}(g, j), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

⁵In particular, performing the Fourier transformation on both sides of (28) and using well-known properties of the Bessel functions, we find that the Fourier transforms of the functions $\gamma_{\pm}(2gt)$ have support on the interval $[-2g, 2g]$.

valid for $n \geq 1$. These relations should be supplemented with expressions (30) for inhomogeneous terms h_n . The latter depend on the function $\gamma_h(t)$ which depends on its turn on $\gamma(t) = \gamma_+(t) + \gamma_-(t)$ and satisfies the integral equation (17)

$$\gamma_h(t) = K_h(t, 0) - 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dt' K_h(t, t')}{\sinh(t'/2)} \left[\frac{g}{2} \gamma(2gt') + e^{-t'/2} \gamma_h(t') - \frac{j}{8} J_0(2gt') \right], \quad (35)$$

with the kernel $K_h(t, t')$ given by (18).

Let us now examine the system of integral equations (34) and (35) for $j = 0$. In this case, the kernel $K_h(t, t')$ vanishes and we deduce from (35) and (30) that $\gamma_h(t; j = 0) = h_n(g, j = 0) = 0$. Then, the relations (34) are reduced to the BES equation [22] and we denote their solution as

$$\gamma_\pm(t; j = 0) \equiv \gamma_\pm^{(0)}(t) = t \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(g)/(8g^2) + O(t^2). \quad (36)$$

The functions $\gamma_\pm^{(0)}(t)$ were constructed at weak coupling in Refs. [22, 36] and at strong coupling in Refs. [35, 37, 29, 38, 39].

To evaluate the scaling function (31) for arbitrary j , it is sufficient to determine the coefficient γ_1 defined in (28) rather than the function $\gamma_-(t)$ itself. This can be achieved by using the fact that the solutions to the integral equations (34) and (35) satisfy Wronskian-like relations. These relations allow us to obtain the following relation for the scaling function (see Appendix A for details)

$$\epsilon(g, j) = 32g \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt) - \gamma_-^{(0)}(2gt)}{e^t - 1} \left[e^{t/2} \gamma_h(t; j) - \frac{j}{8} \right] - 4gj \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt). \quad (37)$$

It is remarkable that $\epsilon(g, j)$ only depends on the solution of the BES equation, $\gamma_\pm^{(0)}(2gt)$, and the ‘hole’ function $\gamma_h(t, j)$. It is the latter function that generates a nontrivial dependence of $\epsilon(g, j)$ on the scaling parameter j . We note that the integrals on the right-hand side of (37) are well-defined for $t \rightarrow 0$ in virtue of $\gamma_-^{(0)}(t) \sim t$, $\gamma_+^{(0)}(t) \sim t^2$ and $\gamma_h(0; j) = j/8$, Eqs. (28) and (24), respectively.

2.3 Change of variables

The system of integral equations (34) has been analyzed in Refs. [29, 39] in the special case $h_n = 0$ corresponding to $j = 0$. The starting point in [29] was the change of variables $\gamma_\pm(t) \rightarrow \Gamma_\pm(t)$ that eliminated the dependence of the BES kernel on the coupling constant. It is remarkable that the same change of variables also applies to (34) for arbitrary j

$$\Gamma_\pm(t; j) = \gamma_\pm(t; j) \mp \coth\left(\frac{t}{4g}\right) \gamma_\mp(t; j), \quad (38)$$

or conversely

$$2\gamma_\pm(t; j) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\cosh(t/2g)}\right) \Gamma_\pm(t; j) \pm \tanh\left(\frac{t}{2g}\right) \Gamma_\mp(t; j). \quad (39)$$

Upon this change of variables, the relations (34) take the form (for $n \geq 1$)

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} J_n(t) \left[\Gamma_-(t) + (-1)^n \Gamma_+(t) \right] = \delta_{n,1} + 2h_n(g, j), \quad (40)$$

where h_n -coefficients are given by (30) and the integral kernel on the left-hand side is the same as for $j = 0$. It is convenient to apply the Jacobi-Anger expansion⁶ (with $u = \sin \varphi$ and φ real)

$$e^{itu} - 1 = 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \{J_{2n}(t) [\cos(2n\varphi) - 1] + iJ_{2n-1}(t) \sin((2n-1)\varphi)\}, \quad (41)$$

and replace an infinite system of equations (40) into a single relation depending on the u -parameter

$$\int_0^\infty dt \left[e^{itu} \Gamma_-(t) - e^{-itu} \Gamma_+(t) \right] = 2 - 8 \int_0^\infty \frac{dt e^{2igt}}{e^t - 1} \left[e^{t/2} \gamma_h(t) - \frac{j}{8} J_0(2gt) \right]. \quad (42)$$

It is important to stress that this relation only holds for $-1 \leq u \leq 1$. Here $\Gamma_\pm(t)$ and $\gamma_h(t)$ are real functions of t . Taking real and imaginary part on both sides of (42), we can replace (42) by a system of two coupled equations. Later in the paper we shall make use of this system.

For $j = 0$ the integral on the right-hand side of (42) vanishes. Its solution at strong coupling, $\Gamma_\pm(t; j = 0) \equiv \Gamma_\pm^{(0)}(t)$, was constructed in Refs. [29, 39]. For $j \neq 0$ the relation (42) should be supplemented with integral equation (35) for the function $\gamma_h(t)$.

3 Scaling function at small j

To find the scaling function (37), we have to solve a complicated system of coupled integral equations for the functions $\gamma_h(t)$ and $\gamma_\pm(t)$. In this section we shall evaluate the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ at small j and generalize consideration to arbitrary j in the next section. As we will see in a moment, the first few terms of the small j expansion of $\epsilon(g, j)$ can be found exactly without solving the integral equations for the functions $\gamma_\pm(t)$.

3.1 Small j expansion

To begin with let us consider the integral equation (17) for the function $\gamma_h(t)$. It involves the kernel $K_h(t, t')$ defined in (18). According to its definition, $K_h(t, t')$ depends on the parameter a which depends on its turn on j and satisfies the relation (21)

$$j = \frac{4a}{\pi} - \frac{8}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\sin(at)}{\sinh(t/2)} \left[\frac{g}{2} \gamma(2gt) + e^{-t/2} \gamma_h(t) - \frac{j}{8} J_0(2gt) \right]. \quad (43)$$

We recall that the parameter a determines the interval $[-a, a]$ on which holes rapidities condense in the limit $N, L \rightarrow \infty$ and $j = \text{fixed}$. As was shown in [1], for $j \rightarrow 0$ this interval shrinks into a point $a \sim j$ and the scaling function vanishes $\epsilon(g, j = 0) = 0$.

Assuming that $a \sim j$ we expand the kernel $K_h(t, t')$ in powers of a and obtain from (18)

$$K_h(t, t') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[a - \frac{1}{6} a^3 (t^2 + t'^2) + O(a^5) \right] = \frac{\sin(at')}{2\pi t'} \left[1 - \frac{1}{6} (at)^2 \right] + O(a^5), \quad (44)$$

where expansion runs in odd powers of a only. We substitute this relation into the right-hand side of (35) and observe that the t' -integral there coincides with analogous integral on the right-hand side of (43). Combining the two relations together, we find from (35)

$$\gamma_h(t) = \frac{j}{8} \left[1 - \frac{1}{6} (at)^2 + O(a^4) \right], \quad (45)$$

⁶More precisely, we differentiate both sides of this relation with respect to u and, then, divide by t .

in agreement with (24).

To determine a we return to the relation (43) and expand its both sides in powers of a and j . We notice that the last two terms in the square brackets there vanish linearly as $j \rightarrow 0$ while the first term is given by $\gamma(2gt) = \gamma^{(0)}(2gt) + O(j)$. In this way, we find from (43)

$$a = \frac{j\pi}{2\kappa} + O(j^2), \quad (46)$$

where the g -dependence resides in the normalization factor

$$\kappa = 2 - 2g \int_0^\infty dt \frac{\gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt) + \gamma_-^{(0)}(2gt)}{\sinh(t/2)}. \quad (47)$$

Substitution of (46) into (45) yields the expansion of $\gamma_h(t)$ at small j .

According to (37), the j -dependence of the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ is controlled by the function $\gamma_h(t)$. Replacing $\gamma_h(t)$ in (37) by its small j expansion (45), we get

$$\epsilon(g, j) = j \epsilon_1(g) + j^3 \epsilon_3(g) + O(j^4), \quad (48)$$

where the coefficient in front of j^2 equals zero for any g and the coefficient functions $\epsilon_1(g)$ and $\epsilon_3(g)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1(g) &= -4g \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left[\frac{\gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt)}{e^{-t/2} + 1} + \frac{\gamma_-^{(0)}(2gt)}{e^{t/2} + 1} \right], \\ \epsilon_3(g) &= -\frac{\pi^2 g}{12\kappa^2} \int_0^\infty dt t \frac{\gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt) - \gamma_-^{(0)}(2gt)}{\sinh(t/2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

We would like to stress that these relations hold for arbitrary coupling g .

3.2 Weak coupling

We recall that the functions $\gamma_\pm^{(0)}(t)$ satisfy the BES equation. At weak coupling, this equation can be solved by iterations leading to [22, 36]

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_-^{(0)}(t) &= \left(1 - g^2 \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right) J_1(t) + O(g^4), \\ \gamma_+^{(0)}(t) &= 4g^3 \zeta_3 J_2(t) + O(g^5). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Plugging these expressions into (49) and expanding $\epsilon_1(g)$ and $\epsilon_3(g)$ in powers of g^2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1(g) &= -8g^2 \ln 2 + g^4 \left(\frac{8}{3} \pi^2 \ln 2 + 16\zeta_3 \right) + O(g^6), \\ \epsilon_3(g) &= g^2 \frac{7}{12} \pi^2 \zeta_3 + g^4 \left(\frac{35}{36} \pi^4 \zeta_3 - \frac{31}{2} \pi^2 \zeta_5 \right) + O(g^6). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

It is easy to see that the one-loop corrections to $\epsilon_1(g)$ and $\epsilon_3(g)$ are in an agreement with the relation (6) for $s = 1/2$.

It is straightforward to evaluate higher order corrections to $\epsilon_1(g)$ and $\epsilon_3(g)$ by taking into account subleading terms on the right-hand side of (50). It is interesting to notice that higher order corrections to $\epsilon_1(g)$ proportional to ‘ $\ln 2$ ’ are controlled to all loops by the cusp anomalous dimension

$$\epsilon_1(g) = -2\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(g) \ln 2 + \dots, \quad (52)$$

Indeed, such term originates from the second integral on the right-hand side of (49) with $\gamma_{\pm}^{(0)}(2gt)$ replaced by its leading small- t asymptotic behavior (36).

The relations (51) and (52) are in agreement with the results of [14].

3.3 Strong coupling

To evaluate $\epsilon_1(g)$ and $\epsilon_3(g)$ at strong coupling, it is convenient to substitute $\gamma_{\pm}^{(0)}(t)$ on the right-hand side of (49) by their expressions (39) in terms of the functions $\Gamma_{\pm}^{(0)}(t) \equiv \Gamma_{\pm}(t; j=0)$.

This leads to the following representation for $\epsilon_1(g)$

$$\epsilon_1(g) = -2g \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\cosh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} \right) \left(\Gamma_{-}^{(0)}(t) + \Gamma_{+}^{(0)}(t) \right) + \frac{\sinh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} \left(\Gamma_{-}^{(0)}(t) - \Gamma_{+}^{(0)}(t) \right) \right]. \quad (53)$$

The functions $\Gamma_{\pm}(t; j)$ satisfy the integral equation (42). For $j=0$ the integral on the right-hand side of (42) vanishes and the resulting equations for $\Gamma_{\pm}^{(0)}(t)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} dt \sin(ut) \left[\Gamma_{-}^{(0)}(t) + \Gamma_{+}^{(0)}(t) \right] &= 0, \\ \int_0^{\infty} dt \cos(ut) \left[\Gamma_{-}^{(0)}(t) - \Gamma_{+}^{(0)}(t) \right] &= 2, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where u -parameter satisfies the condition $u^2 \leq 1$.

We observe that the relations (53) involve the same combinations of $\Gamma_{\pm}^{(0)}(t)$ as in (54). To make use of (54), it is suggestive to replace the two factors on the right-hand side of (53) involving the ratios of hyperbolic functions by their Fourier integrals. Going through calculation (the details can be found in Appendix B) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1(g) = -4\sqrt{2}g^2 \Big\{ & \int_{-1}^1 du \, u \frac{\sinh(g\pi u)}{\cosh(2g\pi u)} \\ & + \int_1^{\infty} du \frac{\cosh(g\pi u)}{\cosh(2g\pi u)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \left(1 - \cos(ut) \right) \left[\Gamma_{-}^{(0)}(t) + \Gamma_{+}^{(0)}(t) \right] \\ & + \int_1^{\infty} du \frac{\sinh(g\pi u)}{\cosh(2g\pi u)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \sin(ut) \left[\Gamma_{-}^{(0)}(t) - \Gamma_{+}^{(0)}(t) \right] \Big\}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Here we split the Fourier integral into sum of two terms corresponding to $0 \leq u \leq 1$ and $1 \leq u < \infty$ and applied (54) in the first term.

Let us now examine the relation (55) at strong coupling. It is straightforward to work out the asymptotic expansion of the first term on the right-hand side of (55) at large g . In the remaining two terms, we replace the ratios of hyperbolic functions by their large g expansion and find after some algebra (see details in Appendix B)

$$\epsilon_1(g) = -1 + m + O(e^{-3g\pi}), \quad (56)$$

where the parameter $m = m(g)$ is defined as

$$m = \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} e^{-\pi g} - \frac{8g}{\pi} e^{-\pi g} \operatorname{Re} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{dt e^{i(t-\pi/4)}}{t + i\pi g} \left(\Gamma_+^{(0)}(t) + i\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) \right) \right]. \quad (57)$$

We recall that the functions $\Gamma_\pm^{(0)}(t)$ are solutions to the integral equations (54).

According to (56), the function $\epsilon_1(g)$ does not receive perturbative corrections in $1/g$ and the leading nontrivial correction is given by m which is exponentially small in g . This property has the same origin as the appearance of the gap in the distribution of magnon rapidities at strong coupling described by the BES equation [22, 35, 39]. Namely, the distribution density of Bethe roots vanishes on the interval $[-2g, 2g]$ to each order in perturbative $1/g$ expansion. These are the nonperturbative (exponentially small in the coupling) corrections that generate a nonzero distribution density on this interval.

At large g the integral in (57) receives a dominant contribution from large $t \sim g$. In order to evaluate (57) it suffices to take $t = \pi g \tau$ and to replace the functions $\Gamma_\pm^{(0)}(\pi g \tau)$ by their asymptotic behavior for $g \rightarrow \infty$ and $\tau = \text{fixed}$. The leading asymptotic behavior of $\Gamma_\pm^{(0)}(\pi g \tau)$ in this limit reads [29, 39]⁷

$$\Gamma_+^{(0)}(\pi g \tau) + i\Gamma_-^{(0)}(\pi g \tau) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(3/4)\Gamma(1+i\tau/4)}{\Gamma(3/4+i\tau/4)} \left[\int_{-1}^1 du \left(\frac{1+u}{1-u} \right)^{1/4} e^{-i\pi g u \tau} + \dots \right], \quad (58)$$

where ellipses denote terms suppressed by powers of $1/g$. From the point of view of the underlying BES equation [39], this expression reflects nontrivial properties of the scattering phase of magnons in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory in the near flat space limit [40].

We substitute (58) into (57), expand the resulting twofold integral at large g and find after some algebra (see Appendix B)

$$m = g^{1/4} e^{-\pi g} \frac{2^{3/4} \pi^{1/4}}{\Gamma(5/4)} + \dots \quad (59)$$

This relation is in a remarkable agreement with the expression for the mass gap (9) found by Alday and Maldacena [2] from string theory considerations. Moreover, the obtained expression for $\epsilon_1(g)$, Eq. (56), is in agreement with the numerical solution to the FRS equation and its analytical estimate [30, 41].

Let us now evaluate $\epsilon_3(g)$. We start with the second relation in (49) and change variables following (39)

$$\epsilon_3(g) = \frac{\pi^2}{48g\kappa^2} \int_0^\infty dt t \left[\frac{\sinh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} \left(\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) - \Gamma_+^{(0)}(t) \right) - \frac{\cosh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} \left(\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) + \Gamma_+^{(0)}(t) \right) \right], \quad (60)$$

and analogously for κ defined in (47)

$$\kappa = 2 - \int_0^\infty dt \left[\frac{\cosh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} \left(\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) - \Gamma_+^{(0)}(t) \right) + \frac{\sinh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} \left(\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) + \Gamma_+^{(0)}(t) \right) \right]. \quad (61)$$

⁷To obtain this relation from perturbative solution for $\Gamma_+^{(0)}(t) + i\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} g^{-k} \Gamma_k(t)$, one has to take into account that $\Gamma_k(t) \sim t^k \Gamma_0(t)$ at large t and, then, resum an entire series in the double scaling limit $g, t \rightarrow \infty$ and $t/g = \text{fixed}$.

The integrals entering (60) and (61) look similar to that for $\epsilon_1(g)$, Eq. (53), and their calculation goes along the same lines as before. Namely, we replace the factors involving the ratios of hyperbolic functions on the right-hand side of (60) and (61) by their Fourier integrals (see Eqs. (B.1) and (B.2)), apply the relations (54) to evaluate the contribution from the region $0 \leq u \leq 1$ and express the remaining integral over $1 \leq u < \infty$ in terms of $\Gamma_{\pm}^{(0)}(t)$. Going through calculation we find that the leading contribution to ϵ_3 and κ as $g \rightarrow \infty$ is proportional to the scale m , Eqs. (57) (see also (B.5)),

$$\epsilon_3 = \frac{\pi^4}{96\kappa^2}m + O(e^{-3\pi g}) , \quad \kappa = \frac{\pi}{2}m + O(e^{-3\pi g}) , \quad (62)$$

so that the relation (46) takes the form $a = j/m + \dots$. Finally, we combine together the relations (48), (56) and (62) and obtain small j expansion of the scaling function at strong coupling as

$$\epsilon(g, j) = j(-1 + m) + \frac{\pi^2}{24m}j^3 + \dots , \quad (63)$$

with m given by (59). This relation agrees with the string theory prediction by Alday and Maldacena [2]. It can be also written as

$$\epsilon(g, j) + j = m^2 \left[\frac{j}{m} + \frac{\pi^2}{24} \left(\frac{j}{m} \right)^3 + \dots \right] , \quad (64)$$

wherefrom we expect that the expansion of the scaling function runs in powers of j/m , or equivalently, $\epsilon_k \sim m^{2-k}$ at strong coupling.

4 Scaling function and nonlinear O(6) sigma model

For $j \sim m$, the small j expansion employed in the previous section is not applicable. In this section, we will show that for $j \ll g$ and $j/m = \text{fixed}$, the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ coincides with the energy density of the ground state of the two-dimensional O(6) sigma model.

4.1 Exact solution of the O(6) sigma model

The exact solution for the ground state energy in the two-dimensional O(6) sigma-model was constructed in Refs. [28]. It can be summarized as follows. The energy density $\epsilon_{\text{O(6)}}$ in the ground state and the particle density ρ are given by

$$\epsilon_{\text{O(6)}} = \frac{m}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B d\theta \chi(\theta) \cosh \theta , \quad \rho = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B d\theta \chi(\theta) , \quad (65)$$

where the differential rapidity distribution $\chi(\theta)$ has the support on the interval $[-B, B]$ and satisfies the integral equation

$$\chi(\theta) = \int_{-B}^B d\theta' K(\theta - \theta') \chi(\theta') + m \cosh \theta . \quad (66)$$

Here the kernel $K(\theta) = (\ln S(\theta))' / (2\pi i)$ is related to a logarithmic derivative of the exact S -matrix of the O(6) model [25]

$$K(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left[\psi \left(1 + \frac{i\theta}{2\pi} \right) + \psi \left(1 - \frac{i\theta}{2\pi} \right) - \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\theta}{2\pi} \right) - \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\theta}{2\pi} \right) + \frac{2\pi}{\cosh \theta} \right] , \quad (67)$$

where $\psi(x) = (\ln \Gamma(x))'$ is the Euler psi-function. Later in this section we will encounter its Fourier transform

$$K(\theta) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dt \cos(2\theta t/\pi) \frac{e^t + 1}{e^{2t} + 1}. \quad (68)$$

For $\rho/m \ll 1$, or equivalently $B \rightarrow 0$, the ground state energy of the model is given by

$$\epsilon_{\text{O}(6)} = m\rho + \frac{\pi^2}{6m}\rho^3 + \dots \quad (69)$$

and it coincides with the energy density of a dilute, nonrelativistic Fermi gas with the particle density ρ [28].

Comparing (64) with (69) we observe that the scaling function at strong coupling is related at small $j \ll m$ to the ground state energy of the O(6) model as ⁸

$$\epsilon_{\text{O}(6)} = \frac{\epsilon(g, j) + j}{2}, \quad \rho = \frac{j}{2}. \quad (70)$$

We will show in this section that the relation (70) holds for arbitrary j in the scaling limit $g \rightarrow \infty$ and $j/m = \text{fixed}$. More precisely, we will demonstrate that the FRS equation for the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ can be written in the form of (65) and (66) upon identification

$$\chi(\theta) = \frac{8}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt \cos(2\theta t/\pi) \gamma_h(t), \quad (71)$$

or conversely

$$\gamma_h(t) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-B}^B d\theta \cos(2\theta t/\pi) \chi(\theta), \quad (72)$$

with $B = a\pi/2$.

4.2 Rapidity distribution

Let us first demonstrate that the Fourier transform of the function $\gamma_h(t)$ fulfills the same integral equation (66) as the rapidity distribution density for the O(6) model.

We recall that $\gamma_h(t)$ satisfies the integral equation (35) with the kernel $K_h(t, t')$ given by (18). The Fourier transform of this kernel can be easily evaluated

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty dt e^{ikt} K_h(t, t') = \frac{1}{2} \cos(kt') \theta(a^2 - k^2), \quad (73)$$

with the step function $\theta(x)$ equal to 1 for $x \geq 0$ and 0 otherwise. Making use of this identity we perform Fourier transformation of both sides of (35) and find that the function $\chi(\theta)$ introduced in (71) vanishes for $\theta^2 > B^2$ with $B = a\pi/2$. This property should not be surprising since, in the Bethe Ansatz approach to the scaling function [1, 14], the function $\chi(\theta)$ describes the distribution of holes⁹ which condense on the interval $[-B, B]$. For $-B \leq \theta \leq B$ the function $\chi(\theta)$ is given by

$$\frac{\pi}{8} \chi(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + I(\theta) - 2 \int_0^\infty dt \frac{\cos(kt)}{e^t - 1} \left(\gamma_h(t) - \frac{j}{8} e^{t/2} J_0(2gt) \right), \quad (74)$$

⁸We note that the absence of $O(j^2)$ term in the expansion of the scaling function, $\epsilon_2(g) = 0$, is related to vanishing of $O(\rho^2)$ term in the energy of nonrelativistic Fermi gas.

⁹This function differs from the one introduced in [14] by normalization, $\chi(\theta) = 2j\rho_h(k)$ with $\theta = k\pi/2$.

where $\theta = k\pi/2$ and the notation was introduced for

$$I(\theta) = -\frac{g}{2} \int_0^\infty dt \frac{\cos(kt)}{\sinh(t/2)} \gamma(2gt). \quad (75)$$

Let us rescale the integration variable, $t \rightarrow t/2g$, and apply (39) to eliminate $\gamma(t) = \gamma_+(t) + \gamma_-(t)$ in favor of $\Gamma_\pm(t)$

$$I(\theta) = -\frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty dt \cos(kt/2g) \left[\frac{\cosh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} (\Gamma_-(t) - \Gamma_+(t)) + \frac{\sinh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} (\Gamma_-(t) + \Gamma_+(t)) \right]. \quad (76)$$

We already encountered similar integral in Sect. 3.2. The important difference is that the functions $\Gamma_\pm(t)$ are now defined for $j \neq 0$. This does not affect however the general scheme that we followed in Sect. 3.2 and calculation goes along the same lines as before (see Appendix B for details). It leads to the following relation in the scaling limit $g \rightarrow \infty$ and $j/m = \text{fixed}$ (with $\theta = k\pi/2$)

$$I(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{8} m \cosh \theta + 4 \int_0^\infty dt \frac{\cos(kt) e^{t/2}}{e^t + e^{-t}} \frac{1}{e^t - 1} \left(e^{t/2} \gamma_h(t) - \frac{j}{8} J_0(2gt) \right). \quad (77)$$

It is important to emphasize that this relation was derived in Appendix B under assumption that

$$B < \pi g. \quad (78)$$

Taking into account the identity $\theta = k\pi/2$, we find that this condition can be reformulated as a requirement that the interval $[-a, a]$ on the real k -axis in which the holes condense should be located inside the gap $[-2g, 2g]$ corresponding to the magnon density at strong coupling described by the BES equation.

Substitution of (77) into (74) yields

$$\chi(\theta) = m \cosh \theta + \frac{16}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dt \cos(kt) \frac{1 + e^t}{1 + e^{2t}} \gamma_h(t) + \frac{2j}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dt \cos(kt) \frac{\sinh(t/2)}{\cosh t} J_0(2gt). \quad (79)$$

The last term on the right-hand side of this relation is subleading in the scaling limit (see Eqs. (B.15) and (B.16)) and can be neglected. Then, we replace $\gamma_h(t)$ by its Fourier integral (72),

$$\chi(\theta) = m \cosh \theta + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_{-B}^B d\theta' \chi(\theta') \int_0^\infty dt \cos(2\theta t/\pi) \cos(2\theta' t/\pi) \frac{1 + e^t}{1 + e^{2t}}, \quad (80)$$

integrate over t with a help of (68) to find that $\chi(\theta) = \chi(-\theta)$ satisfies the same integral equation (66) as the rapidity distribution in the O(6) model!

Finally, it follows from (65) and (72) that the density of particles in the O(6) model is related to the scaling parameter j as

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B d\theta \chi(\theta) = 4\gamma_h(0) = \frac{j}{2}, \quad (81)$$

where in the last relation we applied (24).

4.3 Energy of the ground state

It remains to show that the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ is related to the energy of the ground state of the O(6) model (65) through relation (70).

As follows from (37), the scaling function admits the following representation

$$\epsilon(g, j) = 16g \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt) - \gamma_-^{(0)}(2gt)}{\sinh(t/2)} (\gamma_h(t) - \gamma_h(0)) + j\epsilon_1(g), \quad (82)$$

where we separated terms linear in j into the function $\epsilon_1(g)$ given by (49). As before, we eliminate $\gamma_\pm^{(0)}(t)$ in favor of $\Gamma_\pm^{(0)}(t)$ with a help of (39) and replace $\gamma_h(t)$ by the Fourier integral (72)

$$\epsilon(g, j) = \frac{2g}{\pi} \int_{-B}^B d\theta \chi(\theta) E(\theta) + j\epsilon_1(g), \quad (83)$$

where the notation was introduced for (with $\theta = k\pi/2$)

$$E(\theta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} (1 - \cos(kt/2g)) \left[\frac{\cosh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} \left(\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) + \Gamma_+^{(0)}(t) \right) - \frac{\sinh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} \left(\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) - \Gamma_+^{(0)}(t) \right) \right]. \quad (84)$$

We observe similarity of this integral with the one entering (76). Going through the same steps as before, we get at large g ,

$$E(\theta) = \frac{m}{2g} (\cosh \theta - 1), \quad (85)$$

with m being the mass gap (59). Plugging this expression into (83) and making use of (56), we evaluate the scaling function as

$$\epsilon(g, j) = \frac{m}{\pi} \int_{-B}^B d\theta \chi(\theta) (\cosh \theta - 1) + j(-1 + m) = \frac{m}{\pi} \int_{-B}^B d\theta \chi(\theta) \cosh \theta - j, \quad (86)$$

in a perfect agreement with (70) and (65).

Thus, we demonstrated that, in the limit $g \rightarrow \infty$ with $j/m = \text{fixed}$, the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ is related to the energy density in the ground state of the two-dimensional O(6) sigma-model (70) and, therefore, it can be found from the exact solution of this model constructed in [28]. We should keep in mind however that this result was based on the assumption (78) which need to be checked. Let us first consider the region $j \ll m$ in which case $a = j/m + \dots$ and, therefore, $B = a\pi/2$ automatically satisfies (78). The relation (78) becomes nontrivial for $j \gg m$. In this region, the exact solution of the O(6) model leads to [28]

$$\epsilon_{\text{O(6)}} = \frac{j^2}{2} \left[\frac{\pi}{8 \ln(j/m)} + O\left(\frac{\ln \ln(j/m)}{\ln^2(j/m)} \right) \right]. \quad (87)$$

This expression is valid for $j \gg m$ independently on whether $j \gg g$ or $j \ll g$ whereas the string theory consideration [2] suggests that the relation between $\epsilon(g, j)$ and $\epsilon_{\text{O(6)}}$ should only hold for $j \ll g$. Remarkably enough, the relation (78) leads to the condition for j on the gauge theory side compatible with $j \ll g$. Indeed, for $j \gg m$ we expect from the exact solution of the O(6)

model [26, 27, 28] that the B -parameter scales as $B \sim \ln(j/m) - c \ln \ln(j/m)$ (with constant c that need to be calculated) leading to

$$j \sim m g^c e^B \sim g^{c+1/4} e^{B-\pi g}, \quad (88)$$

where in the second relation we used the expression for the mass gap (59). We conclude that the condition (78) implies that j is exponentially small in g . We expect that the constant c should be equal to $c = 3/4$ leading to the condition $j/g \ll 1$, in agreement with string theory prediction.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied anomalous dimensions of high-twist Wilson operators in a particular limit [1] when their Lorentz spin grows exponentially with the twist. The anomalous dimensions have a nontrivial scaling behavior in this limit and conjectured integrability of the dilatation operator in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory leads to the FRS equation [14] for the corresponding scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$. It is believed that the function $\epsilon(g, j)$ defined as a solution to this equation should interpolate between the weak coupling result based on explicit perturbative calculation in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and the strong coupling result predicted within the gauge/string duality.

We solved the FRS equation at strong coupling in the scaling limit, $g \rightarrow \infty$ and $j/m = \text{fixed}$, and found that, quite remarkably, the anomalous dimensions of high-twist operators in four-dimensional conformal invariant $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory are described by two-dimensional nonlinear $O(6)$ model which is asymptotically free at short distances and develops a mass gap in the infrared. This result is in a perfect agreement with the proposal by Alday and Maldacena [2] who argued, based on the dual string consideration, that the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ should coincide with the energy density of the $O(6)$ model embedded into the $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ model.

In the Bethe Ansatz approach, the scaling function is determined by two sets of rapidities describing magnons and holes [1]. In the scaling limit, the rapidities condense on the real axis and their distribution densities satisfy the system of coupled integral equations [14]. We found that solutions to these equations at strong coupling depend on a ‘hidden’ nonperturbative scale m which determines the mass spectrum of hole excitations. The same scale has been previously identified in the strong coupling expansion of the cusp anomalous dimension [29]. We demonstrated by explicit calculation that, firstly, the scale m coincides with the exact mass gap of the two-dimensional $O(6)$ sigma-model and, secondly, the dynamics of holes at strong coupling is described by the thermodynamical Bethe Ansatz equations for the same model.

At weak coupling, $\epsilon(g, j)$ is a bi-analytical function of g and j [14]. At strong coupling, due to appearance of the mass gap, $\epsilon(g, j)$ has a nontrivial ‘phase diagram’ in the (g, j) -plane. Namely, for $m \ll g$, the function $\epsilon(g, j)$ has different behavior for $j \ll m \ll g$ and $m \ll j \ll g$ [2]. In both regimes, $\epsilon(g, j)$ coincides with the energy density in the ground state of $O(6)$ model: For $j \ll m \ll g$, the function $\epsilon(g, j)$ admits an expansion in powers of j/m which reflects nontrivial properties of the $O(6)$ model in the infrared. For $m \ll j \ll g$, in accordance with the asymptotic freedom in this model, $\epsilon(g, j)$ is given by the perturbative series in $1/g$ with coefficients proportional to j^2 and enhanced by powers of $\ln(j/g)$.

We would like to stress that the FRS equation predicts the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ for arbitrary g and j . The $O(6)$ sigma-model only describes the leading asymptotic behavior of $\epsilon(g, j)$ in the limit $g \rightarrow \infty$ and $j \ll g$. It would be interesting to investigate whether the relation with the $O(6)$ model also exists at the level of subleading corrections. Based on our experience with the

cuspidal anomalous dimension [22, 42, 35, 37, 29, 38, 39], we expect that the transition from strong to weak coupling regime in $\epsilon(g, j)$ should occur for $g \sim 1$. In this region, the hierarchy between the scales m and g disappears and the relation to the $O(6)$ sigma-model is lost. The crossover between strong and weak couplings deserves additional study. Finally, the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ has a nontrivial behavior [1] at strong coupling in the region $j > g$ (with $N, L \rightarrow \infty$), which is left beyond the scope of the present paper. As was already mentioned in the Introduction, the anomalous dimension in this region does not grow logarithmically with N anymore but has instead a BMN like behavior (3). It would be interesting to reproduce this behavior from the FRS equation.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Marcus Benna, Igor Klebanov, Juan Maldacena and Mikhail Shifman for interesting discussions. B.B. thanks the Institute for Nuclear Theory at the University of Washington for its hospitality and the Department of Energy for partial support in the final stage of the work. This research was supported in part by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche under grant ANR-06-BLAN-0142.

Appendix A: Derivation of the scaling function

This appendix contains a derivation of the relation (37). According to (15) and (31), the scaling function $\epsilon(g, j)$ is given by

$$\epsilon(g, j) = f(g, j) - f(g, 0) = 16g^2 [\gamma_1(g, j) - \gamma_1(g, 0)], \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\gamma_1(g, j)$ enters into the Bessel series expansion of function $\gamma_-(t; j)$, Eq. (28). The function $\gamma_-(t; j)$ satisfies the integral equations (34) and satisfies Wronskian-like relations.

To derive these relations we choose some reference j' , multiply both sides of the two relations in (34) by the coefficients $(2n-1)\gamma_{2n-1}(g, j')$ and $(2n)\gamma_{2n}(g, j')$, respectively, and sum over $n \geq 1$. Then, we convert the sums into the functions $\gamma_{\pm}(t; j')$ using the definition (28) and subtract the second relation from the first one to obtain (after rescaling $t \rightarrow t/2g$)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left[\frac{\gamma_-(t; j)\gamma_-(t; j') - \gamma_+(t; j)\gamma_+(t; j')}{1 - e^{-t/2g}} + \frac{\gamma_-(t; j)\gamma_+(t; j') + \gamma_+(t; j)\gamma_-(t; j')}{e^{t/2g} - 1} \right] \\ = \gamma_1(g, j') + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} [(2n-1)h_{2n-1}(g, j)\gamma_{2n-1}(g, j') - (2n)h_{2n}(g, j)\gamma_{2n}(g, j')]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The expression on the left-hand side is invariant under exchange $j \leftrightarrow j'$ and the same should be true on the right-hand side. Then, we replace h_n by their definition (30) and get

$$\gamma_1(g, j) - \gamma_1(g, j') = \frac{2}{g} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\gamma_+(2gt; j') - \gamma_-(2gt; j')}{e^t - 1} \left[e^{t/2} \gamma_h(t; j) - \frac{j}{8} J_0(2gt) \right] - (j \leftrightarrow j'), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where we indicated explicitly the dependence of the γ -functions on the scaling parameters. We note that for $j' = 0$ the relation (A.3) can be used to evaluate the scaling function (A.1). We

take into account that $\gamma_h(t; j' = 0) = h_n(g, j' = 0) = 0$ and $\gamma_{\pm}(t; j' = 0) \equiv \gamma_{\pm}^{(0)}(t)$ to obtain

$$\epsilon(g, j) = 32g \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt) - \gamma_-^{(0)}(2gt)}{e^t - 1} \left[\left(e^{t/2} \gamma_h(t; j) - \frac{j}{8} \right) + \frac{j}{8} (1 - J_0(2gt)) \right]. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Here the integral involving the Bessel function can be further simplified by making use of the identity $1 - J_0(z) = 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} J_{2n}(z)$ leading to

$$\begin{aligned} 8gj \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt) - \gamma_-^{(0)}(2gt)}{e^t - 1} J_{2n}(2gt) \\ = -4gj \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt) (1 - J_0(2gt)) = -4gj \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where in the first relation we applied the integral equations (34) for $j = 0$ and in the second relation used Bessel series representation (28) together with the orthogonality condition (32). Combining together the relations (A.4) and (A.5) we arrive at (37).

Appendix B: Strong coupling expansion

In this Appendix we present some details of the strong coupling expansion of the scaling function. In our analysis we encountered integrals like Eqs. (53) and (76) which involve the product of ratios of hyperbolic functions and linear combinations of $\Gamma_{\pm}(t)$. To begin with, we replace the former by their Fourier integrals with a help of identities (with $g > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(kt) \frac{\cosh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} &= \sqrt{2}g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \cos(ut) \frac{\cosh(g\pi(u+k))}{\cosh(2g\pi(u+k))}, \\ \cos(kt) \frac{\sinh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} &= \sqrt{2}g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \sin(ut) \frac{\sinh(g\pi(u+k))}{\cosh(2g\pi(u+k))}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

valid for arbitrary real k and t . To verify these relations, one closes the integration contour into the lower or upper half-plane depending on the sign of t and picks up residues coming from the denominator. The calculation of ϵ_3 also involves the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} t \frac{\cosh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} &= -\sqrt{2}g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \sin(ut) \frac{d}{du} \left(\frac{\cosh(g\pi u)}{\cosh(2g\pi u)} \right), \\ t \frac{\sinh(t/4g)}{\cosh(t/2g)} &= \sqrt{2}g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \cos(ut) \frac{d}{du} \left(\frac{\sinh(g\pi u)}{\cosh(2g\pi u)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

In what follows we only calculate in detail ϵ_1 since the analysis of ϵ_3 is essentially the same. Applying (B.1) for $k = 0$ we find from (53)

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1(g) &= -2\sqrt{2}g^2 \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \frac{\cosh(g\pi u)}{\cosh(2g\pi u)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} (1 - \cos(ut)) [\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) + \Gamma_+^{(0)}(t)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \frac{\sinh(g\pi u)}{\cosh(2g\pi u)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \sin(ut) [\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) - \Gamma_+^{(0)}(t)] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Let us split the u -integrals into sum of two terms corresponding to $u^2 \leq 1$ and $u^2 > 1$. In the first one, we replace $(1 - \cos(ut))/t = \int_0^u dv \sin(vt)$ and $\sin(ut)/t = \int_0^u dv \cos(vt)$ and, then, evaluate the t -integral with a help of (54). The resulting expression for $\epsilon_1(g)$ is given by (55). To find the large g expansion of the first term on the right-hand side of (55) we just do an opposite, that is rewrite the integral over $-1 \leq u \leq 1$ as a difference of two integrals over $-\infty < u < \infty$ and $u^2 > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 du u \frac{\sinh(g\pi u)}{\cosh(2g\pi u)} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du u \frac{\sinh(g\pi u)}{\cosh(2g\pi u)} - 2 \int_1^{\infty} du u \frac{\sinh(g\pi u)}{\cosh(2g\pi u)} \\ &= \frac{1}{4g^2\sqrt{2}} - 2 \frac{1 + \pi g}{(\pi g)^2} e^{-g\pi} + O(e^{-3g\pi}). \end{aligned} \quad (B.4)$$

In the remaining two terms in (55), we replace hyperbolic functions by their leading large g asymptotics, integrate over u by parts, take into account (54) to evaluate the boundary term and arrive at (56) with m given by

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \frac{2^{7/2}g}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{e^{-\pi g}}{\pi g} - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} du e^{-\pi g u} \int_0^{\infty} dt \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[\cos(ut) \left(\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) - \Gamma_+^{(0)}(t) \right) + \sin(ut) \left(\Gamma_-^{(0)}(t) + \Gamma_+^{(0)}(t) \right) \right] \Big\}. \end{aligned} \quad (B.5)$$

Integration over u leads to (57). Then, we change the integration variable in (57) as $t = g\pi\tau$ and take into account (58) to get

$$m = \frac{2^{7/2}}{\pi^2} e^{-\pi g} + \Delta m, \quad (B.6)$$

with Δm given by a complicated twofold integral

$$\Delta m = g e^{-\pi g} \frac{2^{5/2}}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\tau e^{-i\pi/4}}{\tau + i} \frac{\Gamma(3/4)\Gamma(1 + i\tau/4)}{\Gamma(3/4 + i\tau/4)} \int_{-1}^1 du \left(\frac{1+u}{1-u} \right)^{1/4} e^{i\pi g(1-u)\tau} + \text{c.c.} \quad (B.7)$$

To find its large g asymptotics, we use Mellin-Barnes representation for $e^{i\pi g(1-u)\tau}$ and integrate over u to obtain

$$\Delta m = g e^{-\pi g} \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{-\delta-i\infty}^{-\delta+i\infty} \frac{dj}{2\pi i} (2\pi g)^j \frac{\Gamma(-j)\Gamma(j+3/4)}{\Gamma(j+2)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\tau (-i\tau)^j e^{-i\pi/4}}{\tau + i} \frac{\Gamma(1 + i\tau/4)}{\Gamma(3/4 + i\tau/4)} + \text{c.c.} \quad (B.8)$$

At large g we deform the integration contour to the left and pick up the contribution of poles at negative j . The first two poles closest to the origin are located at $j = -3/4$ and $j = -1$ with the second one coming from integration at small τ . Going through calculation of residues we get

$$\Delta m = g e^{-\pi g} \frac{4}{\pi} \left[(2\pi g)^{-3/4} \frac{2^{-1/2}\pi^2}{\Gamma(5/4)} - (2\pi g)^{-1} 2^{5/2} + O(g^{-7/4}) \right]. \quad (B.9)$$

Substitution of this relation into (B.6) yields the desired expression for the mass gap (59).

The evaluation of the integral $I(\theta)$ defined in (76) goes as follows. We replace the factors in front of $\Gamma_{\pm}(t)$ functions on the right-hand side of (76) by their Fourier integrals (see Eq. (B.1)), split the u -integral into sum of two terms corresponding to $u^2 \leq 1$ (A+B) and $u^2 > 1$ (C) and, then, simplify the first term using (42). In this way, we find

$$I(\theta) = I_A(\theta) + I_B(\theta) + I_C(\theta), \quad (B.10)$$

where

$$I_A(\theta) = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-1}^1 du \frac{\cosh(g\pi u + \theta)}{\cosh(2g\pi u + 2\theta)}, \quad (\text{B.11})$$

$$I_B(\theta) = 2\sqrt{2}g \int_{-1}^1 du \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{e^t - 1} \left(e^{t/2} \gamma_h(t) - \frac{j}{8} J_0(2gt) \right) \\ \times \left[\frac{\cosh(g\pi u + \theta)}{\cosh(2g\pi u + 2\theta)} \cos(2gut) + \frac{\sinh(g\pi u + \theta)}{\cosh(2g\pi u + 2\theta)} \sin(2gut) \right],$$

$$I_C(\theta) = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \int_1^\infty du \int_0^\infty dt \left[\frac{\cosh(g\pi u + \theta)}{\cosh(2g\pi u + 2\theta)} \cos(ut) \left(\Gamma_-(t) - \Gamma_+(t) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\sinh(g\pi u + \theta)}{\cosh(2g\pi u + 2\theta)} \sin(ut) \left(\Gamma_-(t) + \Gamma_+(t) \right) \right] + (\theta \rightarrow -\theta).$$

Here $I_A(\theta)$ and $I_B(\theta)$ originate from the first and the second terms on the right-hand side of (42), respectively.

To find asymptotic behavior of $I_A(\theta)$ at large g we split the u -integral into the difference of two integrals over $-\infty < u < \infty$ and $u^2 \geq 1$. The former integral can be easily done with a help of identity (B.1). Calculating the second integral as well as $I_C(\theta)$, we replace the ratios of hyperbolic functions by their leading asymptotic behavior at large g assuming that $(g\pi u \pm \theta)$ does not change sign inside the integration region. This assumption is justified provided that $-\pi g < \theta < \pi g$, or equivalently $-2g < k < 2g$. Since the integral (B.10) is defined on the support interval $-B \leq \theta \leq B$ of the hole density $\chi(\theta)$, the above mentioned condition is automatically satisfied for $B < \pi g$, or equivalently $a < 2g$. Assuming that this condition is fulfilled, we find after some algebra

$$I_A(\theta) + I_C(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{8} m(j) \cosh \theta, \quad (\text{B.12})$$

where the parameter $m(j)$ is given by

$$m(j) = \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} e^{-\pi g} - \frac{8g}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \int_1^\infty du e^{-\pi g u} \int_0^\infty dt \\ \times \left[\cos(ut) \left(\Gamma_-(t) - \Gamma_+(t) \right) + \sin(ut) \left(\Gamma_-(t) + \Gamma_+(t) \right) \right]. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Here the dependence on j resides inside the functions $\Gamma_\pm(t)$ only. In the previous section, we encountered the same expression for $j = 0$ and identified $m(0) = m$ as the mass gap of the O(6) sigma-model, Eq. (59). We notice that the u -integral on the right-hand side of (B.13) scales as $e^{-\pi g}$ at large g . This allows us to neglect in the t -integral corrections proportional to j in the scaling limit $g \rightarrow \infty$ and $j/m = \text{fixed}$, that is to substitute the functions $\Gamma_\pm(t)$ by their values at $j = 0$, leading to $m(j) = m(0) + \dots$. From the point of view of the integral equation (42) this amounts to neglecting the second term on the right-hand side of (42) as being proportional to j .

To evaluate $I_B(\theta)$, we rewrite the u -integral as the difference of two integrals over $-\infty < u < \infty$ and $u^2 \geq 1$. The former integral can be easily evaluated with a help of identity (B.1) while the latter one produces the contribution subleading in the scaling limit provided that $-\pi g < \theta < \pi g$ and, therefore, can be safely neglected leading to

$$I_B(\theta) = 4 \int_0^\infty dt \frac{\cos(2\theta t/\pi) e^{t/2}}{e^t + e^{-t}} \frac{1}{e^t - 1} \left(e^{t/2} \gamma_h(t) - \frac{j}{8} J_0(2gt) \right). \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Combining together the relations (B.10), (B.12) and (B.14) we arrive at (77).

Calculating (79) we encountered the following integral

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2j}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dt \cos(2\theta t/\pi) \frac{\sinh(t/2)}{\cosh t} J_0(2gt) &= \frac{\sqrt{2}j}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty du \frac{\sinh(\pi gu + \theta)}{\cosh(2\pi gu + 2\theta)} \int_0^\infty dt J_0(t) \sin(ut) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}j}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty du \frac{\sinh(\pi gu + \theta)}{\cosh(2\pi gu + 2\theta)} \frac{\theta(u^2 - 1)}{\sqrt{u^2 - 1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

where we rescaled the integration variable $t \rightarrow t/2g$, applied the second relation in (B.1) and used the properties of Bessel functions. Expanding hyperbolic functions at large g we evaluate the integral as

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2}j}{\pi} \cosh \theta \int_1^\infty \frac{du e^{-\pi gu}}{\sqrt{u^2 - 1}} = \frac{2}{\pi} j g^{-1/2} e^{-\pi g} \cosh \theta + \dots \quad (\text{B.16})$$

In the limit $g \rightarrow \infty$ and $j/m = \text{fixed}$, it scales as m^2 and, therefore, provides a subleading contribution to the right-hand side of (79).

References

- [1] A.V. Belitsky, A.S. Gorsky and G.P. Korchemsky, “Logarithmic scaling in gauge/string correspondence,” Nucl. Phys. B **748** (2006) 24 [arXiv:hep-th/0601112].
- [2] L. F. Alday and J. M. Maldacena, “Comments on operators with large spin,” J. High Ener. Phys. **0711** (2007) 019 [arXiv:0708.0672 [hep-th]].
- [3] A. V. Belitsky, A. S. Gorsky and G. P. Korchemsky, “Gauge/string duality for QCD conformal operators,” Nucl. Phys. B **667** (2003) 3 [arXiv:hep-th/0304028].
- [4] E. Gardi, G. P. Korchemsky, D. A. Ross and S. Tafat, “Power corrections in deep inelastic structure functions at large Bjorken x ,” Nucl. Phys. B **636** (2002) 385 [arXiv:hep-ph/0203161].
- [5] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “A semi-classical limit of the gauge/string correspondence,” Nucl. Phys. B **636** (2002) 99 [arXiv:hep-th/0204051].
- [6] S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, “Semiclassical quantization of rotating superstring in $\text{AdS}(5) \times \text{S}(5)$,” J. High Ener. Phys. **0206** (2002) 007 [arXiv:hep-th/0204226].
- [7] J. M. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [arXiv:hep-th/9711200];
S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory,” Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998) 105 [arXiv:hep-th/9802109];
E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253 [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].
- [8] G.P. Korchemsky, “Asymptotics of the Altarelli-Parisi-Lipatov Evolution Kernels of Parton Distributions,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **4** (1989) 1257;
G. P. Korchemsky and G. Marchesini, “Structure function for large x and renormalization of Wilson loop,” Nucl. Phys. B **406** (1993) 225 [arXiv:hep-ph/9210281].

- [9] A.M. Polyakov, “Gauge Fields As Rings Of Glue,” Nucl. Phys. B **164** (1980) 171.
- [10] D. E. Berenstein, J. M. Maldacena and H. S. Nastase, “Strings in flat space and pp waves from $N = 4$ super Yang Mills,” JHEP **0204** (2002) 013 [arXiv:hep-th/0202021].
- [11] S. Frolov, A. Tirziu and A. A. Tseytlin, “Logarithmic corrections to higher twist scaling at strong coupling from AdS/CFT,” Nucl. Phys. B **766** (2007) 232 [arXiv:hep-th/0611269].
- [12] N. Beisert, S. Frolov, M. Staudacher and A. A. Tseytlin, J. High Ener. Phys. **0310** (2003) 037 [arXiv:hep-th/0308117].
- [13] P. Y. Casteill and C. Kristjansen, “The Strong Coupling Limit of the Scaling Function from the Quantum String Bethe Ansatz,” Nucl. Phys. B **785** (2007) 1 [arXiv:0705.0890 [hep-th]].
- [14] L. Freyhult, A. Rej and M. Staudacher, “A Generalized Scaling Function for AdS/CFT,” arXiv:0712.2743 [hep-th].
- [15] L. N. Lipatov, “Asymptotic behavior of multicolor QCD at high energies in connection with exactly solvable spin models,” JETP Lett. **59** (1994) 596 [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **59** (1994) 571].
- [16] L. D. Faddeev and G. P. Korchemsky, “High-energy QCD as a completely integrable model,” Phys. Lett. B **342** (1995) 311 [arXiv:hep-th/9404173];
G. P. Korchemsky, “Bethe Ansatz For QCD Pomeron,” Nucl. Phys. B **443** (1995) 255 [arXiv:hep-ph/9501232].
- [17] V. M. Braun, S. E. Derkachov and A. N. Manashov, “Integrability of three-particle evolution equations in QCD,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 2020 [arXiv:hep-ph/9805225];
V. M. Braun, S. E. Derkachov, G. P. Korchemsky and A. N. Manashov, “Baryon distribution amplitudes in QCD,” Nucl. Phys. B **553** (1999) 355 [arXiv:hep-ph/9902375];
S. E. Derkachov, G. P. Korchemsky and A. N. Manashov, “Evolution equations for quark gluon distributions in multi-color QCD and open spin chains,” Nucl. Phys. B **566** (2000) 203 [arXiv:hep-ph/9909539].
- [18] A. V. Belitsky, “Fine structure of spectrum of twist-three operators in QCD,” Phys. Lett. B **453** (1999) 59 [arXiv:hep-ph/9902361]; “Integrability and WKB solution of twist-three evolution equations,” Nucl. Phys. B **558** (1999) 259 [arXiv:hep-ph/9903512]; “Renormalization of twist-three operators and integrable lattice models,” Nucl. Phys. B **574** (2000) 407 [arXiv:hep-ph/9907420].
- [19] A. V. Belitsky, G. P. Korchemsky and D. Mueller, “Integrability in Yang-Mills theory on the light cone beyond leading order,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 151603 [arXiv:hep-th/0412054]; “Integrability of two-loop dilatation operator in gauge theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **735** (2006) 17 [arXiv:hep-th/0509121]; “Towards Baxter equation in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **768** (2007) 116 [arXiv:hep-th/0605291].
- [20] N. Beisert and M. Staudacher, “The $N = 4$ SYM integrable super spin chain,” Nucl. Phys. B **670** (2003) 439 [arXiv:hep-th/0307042].

- [21] M. Staudacher, “The factorized S-matrix of CFT/AdS,” J. High Ener. Phys. **0505** (2005) 054 [arXiv:hep-th/0412188];
N. Beisert and M. Staudacher, “Long-range PSU(2, 2|4) Bethe ansatzes for gauge theory and strings,” Nucl. Phys. B **727** (2005) 1 [arXiv:hep-th/0504190].
- [22] B. Eden and M. Staudacher, “Integrability and transcendentality,” J. Stat. Mech. **0611** (2006) P014 [arXiv:hep-th/0603157];
N. Beisert, B. Eden and M. Staudacher, “Transcendentality and crossing,” J. Stat. Mech. **0701** (2007) P021 [arXiv:hep-th/0610251].
- [23] S. Frolov, A. Tirziu and A. A. Tseytlin, “Logarithmic corrections to higher twist scaling at strong coupling from AdS/CFT,” Nucl. Phys. B **766** (2007) 232 [arXiv:hep-th/0611269].
- [24] R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, “Spinning superstrings at two loops: strong-coupling corrections to dimensions of large-twist SYM operators,” Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 066006 [arXiv:0712.2479 [hep-th]].
- [25] A. B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, “Relativistic Factorized S Matrix In Two-Dimensions Having O(N) Isotopic Symmetry,” Nucl. Phys. B **133** (1978) 525 [JETP Lett. **26** (1977) 457]; “Factorized S-matrices in two dimensions as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field models,” Annals Phys. **120** (1979) 253.
- [26] A. M. Polyakov and P. B. Wiegmann, “Theory of nonabelian Goldstone bosons in two dimensions,” Phys. Lett. B **131** (1983) 121;
P. B. Wiegmann, “Exact Solution Of The O(3) Nonlinear Sigma Model,” Phys. Lett. B **152** (1985) 209;
V. A. Fateev, V. A. Kazakov and P. B. Wiegmann, “Principal Chiral Field At Large N,” Nucl. Phys. B **424** (1994) 505 [arXiv:hep-th/9403099].
- [27] L. D. Faddeev and N. Y. Reshetikhin, “Integrability Of The Principal Chiral Field Model In (1+1)-Dimension,” Annals Phys. **167** (1986) 227.
- [28] P. Hasenfratz, M. Maggiore and F. Niedermayer, “The Exact mass gap of the O(3) and O(4) nonlinear sigma models in $d = 2$,” Phys. Lett. B **245** (1990) 522;
P. Hasenfratz and F. Niedermayer, “The Exact mass gap of the O(N) sigma model for arbitrary N is ≥ 3 in $d = 2$,” Phys. Lett. B **245** (1990) 529.
- [29] B. Basso, G. P. Korchemsky and J. Kotanski, “Cusp anomalous dimension in maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at strong coupling,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 091601 [arXiv:0708.3933 [hep-th]].
- [30] D. Fioravanti, P. Grinza and M. Rossi, “Strong coupling for planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory: an all-order result,” arXiv:0804.2893 [hep-th].
- [31] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov and M. Staudacher, “Bethe ansatz for quantum strings,” J. High Ener. Phys. **0410** (2004) 016 [arXiv:hep-th/0406256].
- [32] R. A. Janik, “The $\text{AdS}(5) \times S^5$ superstring worldsheet S-matrix and crossing symmetry,” Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 086006 [arXiv:hep-th/0603038].

- [33] N. Beisert and A. A. Tseytlin, “On quantum corrections to spinning strings and Bethe equations,” *Phys. Lett. B* **629** (2005) 102 [arXiv:hep-th/0509084];
R. Hernandez and E. Lopez, “Quantum corrections to the string Bethe ansatz,” *J. High Ener. Phys.* **0607** (2006) 004 [arXiv:hep-th/0603204];
N. Beisert, R. Hernandez and E. Lopez, “A crossing-symmetric phase for $AdS(5) \times S^5$ strings,” *J. High Ener. Phys.* **0611** (2006) 070 [arXiv:hep-th/0609044].
- [34] A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov, “On the highest transcendentality in $N = 4$ SUSY,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **769** (2007) 217 [arXiv:hep-th/0611204].
- [35] L. F. Alday, G. Arutyunov, M. K. Benna, B. Eden and I. R. Klebanov, “On the strong coupling scaling dimension of high spin operators,” *J. High Ener. Phys.* **0704** (2007) 082 [arXiv:hep-th/0702028].
- [36] A. V. Belitsky, “Long-range $SL(2)$ Baxter equation in $N = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **643** (2006) 354 [arXiv:hep-th/0609068].
- [37] I. Kostov, D. Serban and D. Volin, “Strong coupling limit of Bethe ansatz equations,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **789** (2008) 413 [arXiv:hep-th/0703031];
M. Beccaria, G. F. De Angelis and V. Forini, “The scaling function at strong coupling from the quantum string Bethe equations,” *J. High Ener. Phys.* **0704** (2007) 066. [arXiv:hep-th/0703131].
- [38] A. V. Belitsky, “Strong coupling expansion of Baxter equation in $N=4$ SYM,” *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 732 [arXiv:0710.2294 [hep-th]].
- [39] I. Kostov, D. Serban and D. Volin, “Functional BES equation,” arXiv:0801.2542 [hep-th].
- [40] J. M. Maldacena and I. Swanson, “Connecting giant magnons to the pp-wave: An interpolating limit of $AdS_5 \times S^5$,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 026002 [arXiv:hep-th/0612079].
- [41] M. Benna, unpublished.
- [42] M. K. Benna, S. Benvenuti, I. R. Klebanov and A. Scardicchio, “A test of the AdS/CFT correspondence using high-spin operators,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (2007) 131603 [arXiv:hep-th/0611135].